

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,037	1	1/24/2003	Jason P. Janavicius	040453	6493
24243	243 7590 05/17/2005		EXAMINER		
	CHARMASSON & BUCHACA & LEACH LLP	CHAMBEI	CHAMBERS, TROY		
SUITE 150		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN DIEGO	, CA 92	108-3412		3641	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/722,037	JANAVICIUS, JASON P.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Troy Chambers	3641					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow	•						
:	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🖂	Claim(s) 2-10 is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		Examiner.					
:	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
= 7,1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
:	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
:	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
; * S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
÷	÷							
:								
Attachment(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Paper	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/722,037 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent Applications 20040237954 issued to Styles, 20040144377 issued to Dobbins and 20030047175 issued to Farrell. Each disclose a cylindrical bolt with an elbowshaped flow path.
- 3. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).
- 4. The [a)statements of intended use or field of use, b)"adapted to" or "adapted for" clauses, c) "wherein" clauses, or d) "whereby"]clauses are essentially method limitations or statements of intended or desired use. Thus, these claims as well as other statements of intended use do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641; In re Yanush, 177 USPQ

Art Unit: 3641

705; In re Finsterwalder, 168 USPQ 530; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458; Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647.

See MPEP § 2114 which states:

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. In re Danly, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device is not what a device does. Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 11 is allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not anticipate or make obvious a firing mechanism (as in claim 11) comprising a bolt engaged within a tubular sleeve, the sleeve having a radial aperture in a trailing portion and an resiliently compressible means for biasing said leading portion of said sleeve ahead of said bolt and for keeping said intake port in line with said aperture.
- 7. Claims 2-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,037 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited on form PTO-892 are cited as of interest to show similar firing mechanisms.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (571) 272-6874 between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., M-F. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (571) 272-6875.

12. C.